RIGHT NOW

farmers to protest, or because the stresses of extreme weather highlight government ineptitude. Other findings seem surprisingly straightforward: for instance, both laboratory studies of individual workers and broad analyses of economic output have found that productivity is lower on hot days. “I think that because we mostly have air conditioning in the United States, it’s very easy to forget how big a deal this is,” Dell observes. “Before air conditioning, when it was above 90 degrees, the federal government would just close down.”

Predicting the economic consequences of climate change is especially relevant as governments consider policies like a carbon tax, but is far from easy. “We don’t even have a great sense of how large the climate effects are going to be,” let alone their economic impact, Dell says. She also points out the difficulty of predicting the impact of potentially large changes in climate using data collected from smaller fluctuations in weather. Above certain thresholds, for instance, even minor temperature changes have disproportionately large effects as crops begin to fail. Moreover, resources like water reservoirs that buffer the impact of short-term fluctuations may be depleted by more persistent climate change.

A major question now is how well economies can adapt. Farmers, for instance, might switch to more heat-tolerant crops, but most analyses so far have found limited avenues for heading off long-term effects. Critically for international development, says Dell, the impacts are particularly large on poor countries, which tend to depend more heavily on agriculture. Weather shocks like droughts or storms are also known to strongly slow their growth rate, suggesting that climate change could significantly impede long-term development.

Many areas for further research remain, Dell acknowledges, but evidence from these recent studies has an undeniable trend. “Even fairly modest changes have potentially large impacts,” she says. “We don’t know the exact magnitudes, but we know enough to say that, barring major changes in our capacities to adapt, we’ll see significant negative effects.”

~KATHERINE XUE
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Joseph Conrad’s Crystal Ball

MANY CALL Rudyard Kipling the scribe of the British Empire, but novelist Joseph Conrad (1857-1924) may have best rendered its waning years and foreshadowed its demise. Around the turn of the last century, Conrad’s books portrayed terrorism in Europe, limned the reach of multinational corporations, and foresaw patterns of globalization that became clear only a hundred years later. The contemporary Colombian novelist Juan Gabriel Vásquez has described Conrad’s books “as ‘crystal balls in which he sees the twentieth century,’” says professor of history Maya Jasanoff. “Conrad observed the world around him from distinctive and diverse vantage points because of his own cosmopolitan and well-traveled background,” she continues. “Henry James wrote him a letter that said, ‘No-one has known—for intellectual use—the things you know, and you have, as the artist of the whole matter, an authority that no one has approached.’ James meant not only what Conrad had seen, but the depth of his insights. I would echo that.”

Born in Poland, Conrad spent 20 years of his adulthood as a merchant seaman on French, Belgian, and English ships, steaming to Africa, the Far East, and the Caribbean before settling down as an author in England. His grasp of the tensions and forces tearing apart the Victorian-Edwardian world is a counterweight, says Jasanoff, to the “widely held stereotype of the period as a golden age before everything got wrecked in the trenches of World War I. If you read what people were actually saying then, you get a strong sense of social and economic upheaval. World War I didn’t come out of a vacuum. Conrad’s novels suggest what it was like to be a person living in those times. Fiction can bring alive the subjective experience of the moment, which isn’t rendered by the kinds of documents historians usually look at.”

backdrop to Lord Jim (1900) is the era’s international and intercultural maritime life. Heart of Darkness (1899) probes the fallout of colonial empires, and adumbrates their demise. Nostromo (1904) explores political instability, dictatorship, and revolutionaryries in Latin America, with a treasure in precious metal at the center of the story. The Secret Agent (1907) delves into anarchism, agents provocateurs, and a terrorist bombing—purportedly a symbolic attack on science—at the Royal Observatory, Greenwich.

Unsurprisingly, ships, rivers, and oceans figure importantly in most of these books. But unlike the vessels of Herman Melville, Conrad’s ships include steam-powered ones. He writes, Jasanoff says, about the “industrialization of the sea.” Steamships shrugged off the vagaries of wind and water far better than sailboats. They also enabled cheaper, larger-scale intercontinental migrations, like those of so many Europeans to the United States: Lord Jim opens with a shipload of Muslim pilgrims making the hajj from southeast Asia to Mecca. New technologies of transportation and communication, like the telegraph, were transforming international demographics and patterns of commerce: improvements in refrigeration enabled Europeans to eat meat from Australia and New Zealand, for example. All these changes affected Conrad’s fiction. Nostromo’s title character is an Italian expatriate in Latin America; a local silver miner of English descent also figures in the plot. Conrad eventually captained a cargo ship in the Indian Ocean, and had to make telling decisions like how much wheat from Australia or sugar from Mauritius to load onboard.

“I want to restore shippers, shipping agents, sailors, and dockworkers to our picture of how globalization actually worked,” Jasanoff says. To get a full sense of shipping life on some of the oceans Conrad knew, she booked herself as the sole passenger on a container ship last December, making a month-long voyage from Hong Kong to Southampton, England, and posting a blog about her experiences (http://america.aljazeera.com-multimedia/2013/11/27-days-on-a-cargoshipfromchina.html). “On the boat, there is an incredible contrast between the enclosed container boxes and being out in the middle of the ocean,” she says. “When I got off the ship, I was struck by how closed-in I felt everywhere: there were buildings, trees, and things getting between me and the light. That tension between freedom and constraint is a defining element of being on a boat. After spending 20 years of his adult life around ships, it’s hard to imagine it didn’t influence Conrad’s understanding of the parameters of human action.”

Jasanoff makes imaginative use of atypical sources in her research. For example, Edge of Empire: Conquest and Collecting in the East, 1750-1850 (2005), her award-winning first book, illuminated the British empire by examining individual collectors of art objects and material culture in colonial settings. Liberty’s Exiles: American Loyalists in the Revolutionary World (2011) painted the diaspora and fate of thousands of Tories after their side lost the Revolutionary War; it won the National Book Critics Circle Award for nonfiction.

The work of Conrad’s time, like that of his American contemporaries, was often “literary journalism,” Jasanoff says. If Conrad’s contemporaries were writing about the world’s oceans, his narrative was reflective, and the reality of the situation was marginalized. Conrad's books are “essentially public, political works.”

“World War I didn’t come out of a vacuum…. Fiction can bring alive the subjective experience of the moment, which isn’t rendered by the kinds of documents historians usually look at.”